

Financial Econometrics [EC5609]

Lect 7: Advanced Volatility Modelling

Nicky Grant (Semester 1, 2021/2022)

Volatility Modelling- (Generalised) Autoregressive Heteroscedasticity

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Where $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 h_{t-1}$

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Where $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 h_{t-1}$

The GARCH(1,1) model

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Where $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 h_{t-1}$

The GARCH(1,1) model

Can also consider ARCH models. e.g $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2$

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Where $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 h_{t-1}$

The GARCH(1,1) model

Can also consider ARCH models. e.g $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2$

Or More general GARCH models with more lags of u_t^2 and h_t

Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Suppose we have estimated the conditional mean μ_t

$R_t = \mu_t + u_t$ where we need to make some assumptions on the distribution of ε_t

Suppose $u_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t)$

Where $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_2 h_{t-1}$

The GARCH(1,1) model

Can also consider ARCH models. e.g $h_t = \sigma_0 + \beta_1 u_{t-1}^2$

Or More general GARCH models with more lags of u_t^2 and h_t

Can test and select between using AIC/BIC and parameter restriction tests similar to ARMA modelling

Errors/shocks may not be conditionally normally distributed

Errors/shocks may not be conditionally normally distributed

GARCH does not allow asymmetric volatility effects- namely that the increase in variance after a large down shock tends to be larger than for the equivalent up shock

Errors/shocks may not be conditionally normally distributed

GARCH does not allow asymmetric volatility effects- namely that the increase in variance after a large down shock tends to be larger than for the equivalent up shock

GARCH doesn't allow a risk-return tradeoff

Errors/shocks may not be conditionally normally distributed

GARCH does not allow asymmetric volatility effects- namely that the increase in variance after a large down shock tends to be larger than for the equivalent up shock

GARCH doesn't allow a risk-return tradeoff

All these we can extend using STATA software

(i) **Threshold GARCH (TARCH)**

The TARCH(1,1) specification (Glosten, Jagannathan, and Runkle, 1993; Zakoian, 1994) of the conditional variance is

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1} + \lambda u_{t-1}^2 I_{t-1},$$

where I_{t-1} is an indicator variable defined as

$$I_{t-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & : u_{t-1} \geq 0 \\ 0 & : u_{t-1} < 0. \end{cases}$$

To make the asymmetry in the effect of news on the conditional variance explicit, this model can be written as

$$h_t = \begin{cases} \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \lambda) u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1} & : u_{t-1} \geq 0 \\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1} & : u_{t-1} < 0. \end{cases}$$

The leverage effect in equity markets would lead us to expect $\lambda < 0$, so that negative news, with $u_{t-1} < 0$, is associated with a higher effect on volatility than positive news of the same magnitude.

(ii) **Exponential GARCH (EGARCH)**

The EGARCH(1,1) specification (Nelson, 1991) of the conditional variance is

$$\log h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \left| \frac{u_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \right| + \lambda_1 \frac{u_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} + \beta_1 \log h_{t-1}.$$

An important advantage of the EGARCH specification is that the conditional variance is guaranteed to be positive at each point in time. This result follows from the fact that the variance is expressed in terms of $\log h_t$, so the actual variance is obtained by exponentiation. The parameter α_1 captures potential asymmetry in the effect of u_{t-1} on $\log h_t$. It is expected that $\alpha_1 < 0$, so negative news is associated with a higher effect than positive news of the same magnitude.

GARCH(1,1) in mean Example

```
ARCH family regression -- AR disturbances
Sample: 1/31/2000 thru 10/25/2021      Number of obs   =    1135
                                         Wald chi2(2)    =     1.75
Log likelihood = 1639.353                Prob > chi2     =    0.4176
```

		OPG				
	Amzn	Coefficient	std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]
Amzn	_cons	.0057448	.0021006	2.73	0.006	.0016278 .0098618
ARCHM	sigma2	.4356567	.584818	0.74	0.456	-.7105656 1.581879
ARMA	ar L1.	-.0273474	.0322849	-0.85	0.397	-.0906245 .0359298
ARCH	arch L1.	.040234	.0052499	7.66	0.000	.0299445 .0505235
	garch L1.	.9543409	.0050358	189.51	0.000	.9444708 .9642109
	_cons	.0000186	6.03e-06	3.09	0.002	6.80e-06 .0000304

Figure 2: GARCH in Mean Model: Amazon Weekly Stock Return 2000-2021

Nelsons Exponential GARCH (EGARCH)

```
ARCH family regression -- MA disturbances
Sample: 1/31/2000 thru 10/25/2021      Number of obs   =    1135
                                         Wald chi2(1)    =     0.56
Log likelihood = 1655.092                Prob > chi2     =    0.4551
```

Amzn	Coefficient	OPG std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
Amzn						
_cons	.0054849	.0014477	3.79	0.000	.0026474	.0083224
ARMA						
ma						
L1.	-.0221692	.0296778	-0.75	0.455	-.0803367	.0359982
ARCH						
earch						
L1.	-.0610696	.0082118	-7.44	0.000	-.0771645	-.0449747
earch_a						
L1.	.0572982	.0081002	7.07	0.000	.0414221	.0731743
egarch						
L1.	.9950414	.0015257	652.21	0.000	.9920512	.9980317
_cons	-.0261635	.0078858	-3.32	0.001	-.0416195	-.0107076

Figure 3: GARCH(1,3) Model: Apple Stock Return 2000-2021

Threshold GARCH (TARCH)

ARCH family regression -- MA disturbances

Sample: 1/31/2000 thru 10/25/2021

Number of obs = 1135

Wald chi2(1) = 0.55

Log likelihood = 1654.121

Prob > chi2 = 0.4601

	Amzn	OPG		z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
		Coefficient	std. err.				
Amzn							
	_cons	.0054765	.0014651	3.74	0.000	.0026049	.0083481
ARMA							
	ma						
	L1.	-.0219678	.0297384	-0.74	0.460	-.0802539	.0363183
ARCH							
	abarch						
	L1.	.0631146	.0057298	11.02	0.000	.0518845	.0743447
	atarch						
	L1.	-.0598504	.0086708	-6.90	0.000	-.0768449	-.0428559
	sdgarch						
	L1.	.9696657	.0035755	271.20	0.000	.9626578	.9766735
	_cons	.000313	.0000963	3.25	0.001	.0001242	.0005018

Figure 4: Threshold GARCH Model: Apple Stock Return 2000-2021